



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/715,772	11/17/2000	Jack B. Dennis	004800.P004	7033		
26384 759	90 02/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS) CODE 1008.2			KING, J	KING, JUSTIN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W.			2111			
WASHINGTON, DC 20375-5320			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	, 9		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DW

	Application No. 09/715,772		olicant(s)
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art	Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communica	Justin I. King	211	

THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

## condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a ☐ affidavit, b ☐ exhibit, or c ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. $\boxtimes$ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\square$ will not be entered or b) $\boxtimes$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: . . Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) rejected: 1-41. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet **XUAN M. THAI** PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 099/715,772

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. Applicant argues that the Bucher does not disclose the claimed processing slice which executes multi-threads concurrently. The rejection uses the Motomura to support the concurrently processing. The Motomura has a plurality of the processors within a processing slice (figure 1), which is capable of concurrent processing. 2. Applicant argues that the Motomura's processor does not perform operations from any other thread. The Motomura's figure 1 as a whole is equivalent to the claimed processing slice, which does process operations from different threads. . . .

Continuation of 10. Other: The submitted prior art (2/6/04) is not entered because it is not in compliance with MPEP 609, 37 CFR 1.56, 1.97(c), and 1.98.